- 110 .	(1001) 12(05) Grade of Betchitch I chang I har				
	United	STATES DISTR	ICT COURT		
	WESTERN	District of	NORTH CAROLINA		
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA				
	V.	ORDE	R OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	ANGIE MULLINAX	Case	1:09 cr 09		
	Defendant				
	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. on of the defendant pending trial in this case.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing h	as been held. I conclude that the following facts require the		
		Part I—Findings of Fac			
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in				
	a falony that was committed after the defe	andant had been convicted of two	or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. *		
(3)	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state of the offense described in finding (1) was command period of not more than five years has elapse for the offense described in finding (1).	or local offenses.  mitted while the defendant was o  ed since the date of convice  ttable presumption that no condi	n release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.  tion release of the defendant from imprisonment  tion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the		
	•	Alternative Findings (A)			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense			
(2)	for which a maximum term of imprisonme under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption the appearance of the defendant as required an	n established by finding 1 that no	bed in  condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure		
X (1) X (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will r There is a serious risk that the defendant will e	not appear.	rson or the community.		
SEE ATTACHED ADDENDUM TO DETENTION ORDER					
	nd that the credible testimony and information so of the evidence that	Vritten Statement of Reason ubmitted at the hearing establish	es by X clear and convincing evidence X a prepon-		
to the e reasona Govern	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Atto xtent practicable, from persons awaiting or servable opportunity for private consultation with de	ving sentences or being held in efense counsel. On order of a co	Detention  presentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a urt of the United States or on request of an attorney for the othe United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance		

Date Signature of Judge
Dennis L. Howell, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judge

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION 1:09 cr 09

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Vs.	ADDENDUM TO
ANGIE MULLINAX.	DETENTION ORDER

## I. FACTORS CONSIDERED

## 18 U.S.C. § 3142:

- **(g) Factors to be considered.--**The judicial officer shall, in determining whether there are conditions of release that will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of any other person and the community, take into account the available information concerning--
- (1) The nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;
- (2) the weight of the evidence against the person;
- (3) the history and characteristics of the person, including--
  - (A) the person's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings; and
  - **(B)** whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the person was on probation, on parole, or on other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal, or completion of sentence for an offense under Federal, State, or local law; and
- (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release.

II. FINDINGS

## As to factor:

- (g)(1): The nature and circumstances of the offense charged involve a controlled substance. In violation allegation #3 it is alleged that the defendant has tested positive for marijuana and cocaine on September 9, 2008. She admitted that she used marijuana and cocaine on November 23, 2008 and she admitted she used marijuana on January 19, 2009 and March 11, 2009. Further, the offense for which the defendant was placed on supervised release was a charge of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine.
- (g)(2): The weight of the evidence against the person is at the level of probable cause.
- (g)(3): The history and characteristics of the person
- (A) Family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history and record concerning appearance at court appearances indicate that the defendant has family ties, she has had employment, and a long length of residence in the Cleveland County and and Gaston County areas. In regard to the defendant's criminal history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, the defendant has the following convictions:

<u>Offense</u>	Conviction Date
Conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine	02/19/08
Possession of marijuana	10/23/01
Possession of drug paraphernalia	09/13/04
Obtaining a controlled substance by fraud	02/02/05

In addition to the above referenced offenses, the defendant has the following additional criminal convictions:

<u>Offense</u>	Conviction Date
Shoplifting	12/15/00
Trespassing	12/15/00
Larceny	11/08/00
2 counts of obtaining property by false pretense	10/06/00
5 counts of forgery	10/06/00
5 counts of uttering a forged instrument and 5 counts of forgery	04/03/01
Obtaining property by fraud	03/07/02
Obtaining property by fraud	03/07/02
3 counts of forgery	11/07/01
3 counts of uttering a forged instrument	11/07/01
Obtaining property by false pretense	03/07/02
Obtaining property by fraud	03/07/02

Obtaining property by fraud	03/07/02
Obtaining property by fraud	03/07/02
Larceny	09/01/04
Obtaining property by fraud	11/08/06

The defendant's record concerning appearance at court appearances shows that the defendant failed to appear in regard to a charge of larceny that had been brought against her in Cleveland County NC on July 7, 2004. The defendant failed to appear on December 1, 2005.

(B) At the time of the current offense or arrest, the defendant was on probation, parole or other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal or completion of sentence. It appears that this factor does exist. The defendant was serving a term of supervised release which she is alleged to have violated.

(g)(4): The nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release indicate by clear and convincing evidence that the release of the defendant would create a risk of harm or danger to any other person or the community. It appears from the criminal record of the defendant that the defendant has been convicted of 32 separate felonies and six misdemeanors. The allegations against the defendant include the fact that she has committed a large number of other felonies during the period of time that she was serving a term of supervised release. Those felonies involve felony larceny by employee and obtaining property by false pretense and two potential counts of possession of cocaine. The evidence is clear and convincing that the release of the defendant would create a risk of harm or danger to any other person or the community.

The undersigned does find by a preponderance of the evidence that the release of the defendant would create a risk of flight on her part. If the allegations contained in the petition are proven, the potential sentence of the defendant would be substantial. Further, the defendant has pending against her charges of being an habitual felon in the state of North Carolina. The potential for the length of the sentence against the defendant shows by a preponderance of the evidence that the release of the defendant would create a risk of flight on her part. As a result of the foregoing, the undersigned has determined to enter an order detaining the defendant pending further proceedings in this matter.

WHEREFORE, it is ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending further proceedings in this matter.

Signed: April 9, 2009

Dennis L. Howell United States Magistrate Judge

ennis C. Hawell